

GREAT SHIPS INITIATIVE (GSI)

TECHNICAL SYSTEMS AUDIT CHECKLIST FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING INTAKE

Purpose/Scope of Audit: GSI Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDTE) Facility Technical Systems

Audit

Brief Description of Audit: Audit of sample labeling, collection, transport, and analysis at the GSI RDTE Facility

during performance evaluation of the Siemens SiCURE Ballast Water Management System (Trial #4). Quality system documentation will be reviewed. A procedural audit will be conducted to verify that the technical aspects of this project are being performed

according to GSI standard operating procedures.

Auditee:

GSI scientists

Audit Location:

RDTE Facility (Superior, WI)

Auditors:

Kelsey R. Prihoda, GSI Assistant Quality Assurance Manager

Audit Dates:

Friday, September 11, 2009

SAMPLE BOTTLE LABELING, SAMPLE COLLECTION, AND SAMPLE TRANSPORT TO UWS

SAMPLE TEST ID: 09-SI-4F

Relevant GSI SOPs:

- GSI/SOP/G/RA/SC/3 Procedure for Labeling Samples Collected at the GSI Land-Based RDTE Facility (DRAFT)
- GSI/SOP/LB/G/O/5 Procedure for Injecting Organisms and Solids into the GSI Land-Based RDTE Facility
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/3 Procedure for Algae/Small Protozoa Sample Collection
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/4 Procedure for Microbial Sample Collection
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/6 Procedure for Zooplankton Sample Collection
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/3 Procedure for Collecting Physical/Chemical Data and Samples at the GSI Land-Based RDTE Facility (DRAFT)

 Facility (DRAFT)

> Time Fill Started: 9:51 am Chemistry + Water Quality Collection @ 10:01, 10:21

Sample Collection Type	on Correctly & I		ly & In	Colle Follo SOF	wing	Transported Back to UWS?			
	Number	(Collected By)	Υ	N	γ	N	γ	N	
Before Fill (BF)	Pond		Phytoplankton (Euan)						
Potoro Fill (PF)	CD2	2	Harbor Phytoplankton (Don/AMI)						
Before Fill (BF)	SP2	3	Harbor Zooplankton (Don/AMI)						
Control Tub	SP2	1	TRC and TRO (Lana)			(15)			

Page 1 of 7
Initial/Date

144/9-11-09

Sample		E		Labe Correct	eled tly & In		cted wing	Transp	orted	
Collection					te?		Ps?	Back to		
Type (Code)	Sample Port/Point	Tub Number	Sample Type (Collected By)	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	
(C)			Chem. Bucket							ĺ
(0)			(Don)	\bigvee	-					
			Phytoplankton							
			(Euan)	~		10:51	_			
			Zooplankton							
			(Don)			V11:4	2			
		·	TRC and TRO							
			(Lana)	V		10:5	4			
			Chem. Bucket	./			•			
			(Don)	V		10:5	2			
		1	Phytoplankton		_					
Pre-Treatment			(Euan)	V		10:1	50			
Tub	SP3	4	Zooplankton	1/			1 11.00			
(PT)			(Don)			V Ke Cu	wed 11:27			Heidi.
V 1			Microbe Rep. 1			10:5	~			Sailland
			(Lana)		-	V 14.57	ク		-	to Traus-
			Microbe Rep. 2	/	/					port
			(Lana) Microbe Rep. 3	 /		10:5:	3			- (
			(Lana)	/		1/107	~			\
			TSS, POC, DOC			10:5	5		/	
			Rep. 1 – 10 min.				,			
			(Heidi Schaeffer)			10:02				
Pre-Treatment			TSS, POC, DOC			10.00				
In-Line	SP3		Rep. 2 – 30 min.	1/		./				
(PT)	3.0		(Heidi Schaeffer)	V		10:21				
X /			TSS, POC, DOC							1 1
			Rep. 3 – 50 min.			/				
			(Heidi Schaeffer)			10:41				*
			TRC and TRO			' <i> </i>				
			Rep. 1 – Beginning	V						
			(Lana)			10:06				
			TRC and TRO	/						
			Rep. 2 – Middle	1						
Filter	Line to		(Lana)			10:25				
Backwash	Backwash		TRC and TRO	/		/				14
(BW)	Storage		Rep. 3- End							V
	Tank		(Lana)			10:45				\mathcal{N}
			Disinfection							
			Byproducts Rep. 1	V		10:41	1.	1/12:	08 Ton	n to
			Disinfection			(411		,,,,	tvo	usport
			Byproducts Rep. 2	V		10:41		12:0) 8	7
	<u> </u>					/ / :	ل		O.	İ
Post-	SP15	6	Phytoplankton	/		10:5	+			
Treatment Tub	<u>. </u>		Zooplankton			reciev	ed@			
			Annual Charles and Annual Charle		•					_

Tuble is very rusty compared to Tubs land 4.

Page 2 of 7 Initial/Date WW 19-11-6

Sample				Labe Correct		Collected Followin		ranspo	orted	
Collection	Samula —	Tub	Cample Tune	Cra		SOPs?		ick to I		
Type (Code)	Sample Port/Point	Number	Sample Type (Collected By)	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	_ N	_
(T)			Cold Water Bioassay			10:57	V			
			Chem. Bucket							
			Microbe Rep. 1			10:56	L			
			Microbe Rep. 2		_	10:50	L			
			Microbe Rep. 3	/		10:56			-	
			Disinfection Byproducts Rep. 1			10:56	l	17:08	Tom	to asport
			Disinfection Byproducts Rep. 2			10:50	l	12:00	tvau	rsport.
			TRC and TRO			10:50				
			TSS, POC, DOC Rep. 1 – ~10 min. (Tom)				•			Meidd Saillaud to traus- port
			TSS, POC, DOC Rep. 2 - ~30 min. (Tom)				V			
Post-			TSS, POC, DOC Rep. 3 - ~50 min. (Tom)	/		10:42	L			
Treatment In- Line	SP16 Without		TSS, POC, DOC Rep. ≱ ~50 min. Duplicate (Tom)				V			
(T)	new pitot design- i.e., pitot		TRC and TRO Rep. 1 -~10 min. (Tom)	L/						
	with hous		TRC and TRO Rep. 2 - ~30 min. (Tom)			16:24				·
			TRC and TRO Rep. 3 – ~50 min. (Tom)			10:44				
			TRC and TRO Rep. 1 – ~10 min. ∅ _(Tom) Lawa			w 10:01	II (n. 140-141) (A. A.			٠
Post- Treatment In-	Siemens TAP		TRC and TRO Rep. 2 – ~30 min. () (Tom) Laux	/		n10:21				
Line (T)	(S TAP)		TRC and TRO DUP Rep. Z-~30 min. () (Tom)- LAM			710:01				
			TRC and TRO Rep. 3 – ~50 min. () <u>-(Tom)</u> UW			410:41	:			

U RE KULT 9-11-09

Page 3 of 7
Initial/Date
WO | 9-11-09

COMMENTS OR OBSERVATIONS DURING FILL AND SAMPLE COLLECTION:

SAMPLE ANALYSIS

SAMPLE TEST ID: 09-SI-4F

QUALITY SYSTEM DOCUMENTATION

	AUDIT QUESTIONS		RESPO	NSE	COMMENTS
		Y	N	NA	
1.	Is there an approved Quality Assurance Project Plan for the overall project and has it been reviewed by all appropriate personnel?		V.		There is a BRAFT GSI QAPP that has not been reviewed by GSI personnel yet
2.	Is a copy of the current approved QA Project Plan maintained near laboratory work station areas?				
3.	Is the implementation of the project in accordance with the QA Project Plan?				
4.	Are there deviations from the QA Project Plan? Explain.				
5.	Do any deviations from the QA Project Plan affect data quality?			~	
6.	Are sample handling and storage procedures in accordance with the QA Project Plan?				sample handling a storage procedures are accordance with the
7.	Are written and approved current standard operating procedures (SOPs) used in the project? If so, list them and note whether they are maintained near laboratory work station areas?	V			GOTS listed in this docu GSI SOPs are listed in the document. They are maintained in the n lab and are with G
8.	Are data/observations appropriately recorded in laboratory notebooks/forms according to the QA Project Plan (i.e., entries in ink, dated, initialed, corrections done properly)? Are data contained in bound, well-labeled notebooks or three-ring binders?				all data recording observed was don according to \$16 I So ZP analysis shuts a not Rept in 3-ring bi
9.	Do supervisory and/or QA personnel inspect laboratory notebooks/forms on a regular basis and initial notebook after review?		/		not been inspected thus fav, but will following the end
	Are paper records written in indelible ink?				was in indelible

CHEMISTRY

Relevant GSI SOPs:

- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/2 Procedure for Determining Total Residual Oxidants (TRO) in Water
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/3 Procedures for Measuring Organic Carbon in Aqueous Samples
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/6 Procedure for Analyzing Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Concentrations in Water
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/8 Procedure for Analyzing Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

			ESPO	NSE	
	AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N	NA	COMMENTS
1.	Describe the analytical instrumentation. List the brand and model number for each instrument.	~			Orion 290 #2- Spec 20 4157
2.	Are calibration and maintenance logs kept for the logs cure no instrumentation (e.g., balances and other equipment)?	e kept			the standard calibration is of on pre-printed
3.	Review the maintenance and operational records for the equipment. Based on your findings, do all instruments/equipment appear to be in good operating condition?	~			Standard curve and QC sample results that a expected and ho repeatable.
4.	Are the manufacturer's operating manuals readily available to the instrumentation operators?		/		manuals at a
5. 6.	Describe the routine calibration procedure. Does the calibration documentation show that the calibration procedures are being followed?				Calibration using 61d. Curve for TRU 15 described in a Pre-printed datas be used to recons
7.	Do the calibration standards have the appropriate levels (i.e., bracket the samples to be measured)?	~			see *12 below.
8.	What is the instrumentation calibration error according to the calibration documentation?		,		bid not observe curve Rª value.
9.	Are duplicate samples collected and analyses conducted on at least 10% of the physical/chemical samples?				From treatment trt): Wa Rep. I Du CHREP. I Dup.
10.	Are reagent blank samples analyzed with each set of samples?	/			1/9
11.	Are a minimum of three and preferably more standards required for standard curves?	/			5-point stand curve for TKC a Plus acstd. anal
12.	When applicable, do routine procedures that require standard curves bracket concentrations?	/			Simens dosing Chlorine. TRISH
	When applicable, have analytical method detection limits been established and clearly documented?	·/			
Ado be Th	litional Questions or Comments: New pitot design a put in place prior to 09 SI 4F, however, we for, it is expected that cH sampain be inconsistent (as seen in 09 SI	1 07 17 16 34	Plb u didi fr)	vas u nit g om	supposed to et installe SPIb will

MICROBIOLOGY Reviewed datasheets 21 October 2009, large. Data entry proofed Relevant GSI SOPS: by TJJ 29 Sept. 09.

- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/MA/1 Procedure for Quantifying Heterotrophic Plate Counts (HPCs) using IDEXX's SimPlate® for HPC Method
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/MA/3 Procedure for the Detection and Enumeration of Enterococcus using Enterolert™
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/MA/4 Procedure for the Detection and Enumeration of Total Coliforms and E. coli using IDEXX's Colilert®

		ESPO	NSE		
AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N	NA	COMMENTS	
1. Are duplicate sample analyses conducted on at least 10% of the microbiology samples?				QA count done of Enterococcus by the QA count for Ut	NE. IJS. PC o
2. Are at least 10% of the samples counted by a second qualified individual (i.e., QA count)?	/		\ -	> ENT, HPC, + VC-1	na f
3. Are reagent blank samples analyzed with each set of samples? (3) we samples?	/			Blanks for: E. co coliforms, Enteroc and UC-RNH+B	h, t occu 14.
4. When applicable, have analytical method detection limits been established and clearly documented?	/				•
Additional Questions or Comments: 11:30 am, and neutralized by 12:25 pm. All sample	10:53 15 in	inc	reau ubat	reclin lab at for by 2:08pm	n.
Additional Questions or Comments: 11:30 am, and neutralized by 12:25 pm. All sample All pre-treatment TCBS plates for V. choleral were on 9-12-09 at 6 pm-this in 6.5 hours more to 30.5 after recip	TNT Nan 1,24.9	c,: di'i 5 hou	sam rected urg m	ples ivere rid Kin 50Ps O ore than.	itt

PHYTOPLANKTON

Relevant GSI SOPS: Reviewed datasheets 16 October 2009. W.

• GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SA/1 – Procedure for Algae/Small Protozoan Sample Analysis

	R	ESPO	NSE		
AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N	NA	COMMENTS	
1. Were all data, observations, and comments appropriately recorded on the "Ballast Water Plankton"? Count Sheet"?	/			will need to se or scanned do was not process analysis.	cuntent- t during
2. Was sample assessment conducted within ~1-1.5 hours after sample collection?		\ <u>\</u>		Started @10:5	plis were
3. Were at least 10% of the samples counted by a second analyst (i.e., QA count)?	/			very concentrate, were difficult - LENdick and con	d and to count.

	* why are the	we so many more	chains in ove-	fort than
Additional Questions or		Ų	of be incorrect d	
no error correct	101/S. collected at 10:151.		out Vocionient at laid	1 1
	vere difficult to r ne in pencil-was ver	ead (scanned	PDF codied), wi	ofing
Datashuts W	We diffig	ry faint Diaton	1 chains hads	o madue
may have been do	to the contract of	and be sended as	Men duta - 1000 M	
alls that there a	vapuot adequate spa	ace to recover of	TA	jug 175
very difficult to a	idd the total re-TRT:	number agree		U
OOPLANKTON	add the total Pre-Tet:	93 cell/mL	uve in 1454	
elevant GSI SOPs:	Post-TET: 1 cells	IML LIVE IN T	uble	
· CSI/COD/DS/DA/C/2 Dro.	codura for Zoonlankton Campla		/ -	

/SOP/BS/RA/C/2 – Procedure for Zooplankton Sample Analysis (DRAFT)

	R	ESPO	NSE		
AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N	NA	COMMENTS	
1. Were all data, observations, and comments appropriately recorded on the "Zooplankton Identification Worksheet"? and in TP Aualysis logical and in the contraction was appropriately recorded and in the contraction was appropriately recorded and comments.	- 3nd)			
2. Was sample assessment conducted within ~2 hours of after sample collection?		V	4:	Started @ 11.2 1:35. 5: 1:155. rotifetion@3:04.	7. Done Q crusto 2 2
3. Were at least 10% of the samples counted by a second analyst (i.e., QA count)?	V			second count de MLG on Pre-Tra Sample.	tub 4

Additional Questions or Comments:

4.7 × 105 zooDlawleton in harbor.

Pre-Treatment Fill Tub 4 rotifer subsamples land 2 had 274 and 352 orgs/slide. This exceeds the 150-200 orgs/slide specified in the SOP.

Additional Questions and Comments on Technical Systems Audit:

- New injection pump was installed prior to 09 SI 4F, therefore, 159 should be approximately 60 mg/L in today's pre- and' post-treatment samples.
- Siemen's chunist will analyze TRC on Tub le sample after our analysis for companison purposes.
- -Don added you probes for in-tank water chunistry monitoring to ca and Ta

Page 7 of 7 Initial/Date